# **EXHIBIT 2**



#### Transcript of William A.V. Clark, Ph.D.

Date: December 22, 2016

Case: de Reyes, et al. -v- Waples Mobile Home Park Limited

Partnership, et al.

Planet Depos

Phone: 888-433-3767

Fax: 888-503-3767

Email: transcripts@planetdepos.com

Internet: www.planetdepos.com

Worldwide Court Reporting | Interpretation | Trial Services

26

1 subject was an attempt to estimate the undocumented 2 population in a particular geographical area? 3 There may be other publications which have 4 certainly been involved with discussions of the 5 undocumented population. Your specific question 6 about whether I have estimated it for specific 7 areas, I don't believe that I have articles that 8 have done that. 9 Have you engaged in that type of analysis 10 as an expert witness on any occasion other than this 11 case? 12 Α I believe it was part of the Koreatown 13 study. We were concerned with people who were 14 documented or not, but I don't think that that 15 became an essential part of that case. 16 In the reports in this case, Professor 17 Clark, there's a term that's used called "margin of 18 error." Can you define that term for me. 19 When statisticians and demographers make 20 estimates using samples, they recognize that there 21 is some -- because it's not a count, there is some 22 error in the result, and we provide a range around

28

1 the demographer doing the estimate. 2 Does the size of the sample impact the Q 3 reliability of the estimate that's derived from it? 4 Α The size of the sample plays a role, yes. 5 0 What role does that play? 6 Well, larger samples are usually likely to 7 be more accurate. 8 When you take into account these various Q 9 factors that could affect the reliability of the 10 estimate, is there a particular method by which you 11 then determine the margin of error? 12 Α Well, the margin of error is calculated as 13 a -- as a -- using the statistical probability. You 14 ask what's the reliability of the estimate based on 15 a five percent variation, a ten percent variation, 16 and you produce that estimate as a measure of the 17 potential variation in the true value. 18 In determining your margin of error, is 19 that determined at all by the confidence level that 20 you utilize? 21 The confidence level influences -- you can Α 22 have margins of error at various confidence levels.

1	Q What is the confidence level that you
2	consider to be the standard in what you do?
3	A Well, it's not what I do. Demographers
4	and census people use various levels of various
5	probability estimates ranging in the five05 and
6	.10, five and ten percent.
7	Q Well, the Census Bureau uses a confidence
8	level of 90 percent, correct?
9	A Yes. That's the other way of saying it.
10	Q As a demographer, is that an acceptable
11	standard to use?
12	A It's used of course it is. The census
13	uses it. Demographers use it.
14	Q In looking at a sample, in determining the
15	margin of error, if you go from a larger sample, say
16	a national survey, to a county survey, does the
17	margin of error increase as you go from the larger
18	to the smaller area?
19	A It can, yes.
20	Q Are there any instances where that would
21	not happen?
22	A I don't know.

1	Q So what I'm trying to get at is in making
2	those comparisons, what factors do you as a
3	demographer look at to determine the difference in
4	the margin of error in that situation?
5	A I'm sorry. I just don't understand your
6	question. The margin of error is the margin of
7	error which you calculate at the national level and
8	the local level. That's it.
9	Q Would you agree that the Census Bureau,
10	for example, when it goes from the national level
11	down to smaller geographical areas, its margin of
12	error increases?
13	A Yes.
14	Q So is that typical, that when you go from
15	a larger to a smaller geographical area, the margin
16	of error increases?
17	A There are a number of assumptions in your
18	statement. It would only increase if you hold the
19	sample size constant.
20	Q When you say hold the sample size
21	constant, what do you mean?
22	A Well, you have three million at the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

#### Transcript of William A.V. Clark, Ph.D. Conducted on December 22, 2016

33

national level, you have some smaller number at the local level, and we've agreed that the margin of error would be greater at the smaller area than at the national. In attempting to estimate the undocumented population as we've defined it, are there particular challenges to that type of estimate as opposed to estimating another segment of the population? I believe that's true. What are the difficulties, if you will, in estimating the undocumented population? Α Well, because they're undocumented, some of them prefer not to be measured in census estimations. So getting an accurate count is more difficult for a population that is less willing, less wanting to be measured. As a demographer, how do you deal with that? Well, there's a huge literature and it's been discussed at length, and both Dr. Weinberg and I reference some of the important people, Fasel, Warren, Word, all these people, Peter Morrison, who

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

#### Transcript of William A.V. Clark, Ph.D. Conducted on December 22, 2016

34

have worked on this project of how to estimate the undocumented population, and now the demographers at The Center for Migration Studies have done a very good job of coming up with pretty good estimates of the national and local undocumented populations. So The Center for Migration Studies has estimated the undocumented population at the national level for the United States, correct? Α Yes. Is it also true that CMS, who is -- I'll refer to them as The Center for Migration Studies -has acknowledged a nine percent margin of error with respect to its estimate of the undocumented population at the national level? Α That's correct. Has CMS estimated the margin of error for its estimates at smaller geographical areas such as a state? They have not. Α Do you know why they have not done that? 0 I think the -- they say it's difficult Α enough to try and get estimates of the undocumented

35

1 population. Putting margins of error on this is 2 difficult -- a difficult process. Why they actually 3 didn't do it, they don't say in their report. 4 hasten to add that that material has just come out, 5 and I understand, but this is hearsay, that they may 6 attempt to provide margins of error. 7 Would you expect the margin of error for 8 the undocumented population to be higher at the 9 state level as opposed to the national estimate from 10 CMS? 11 Α Would I expect the margin of error to be 12 higher? 13 0 Yes, at the state level. 14 Depending on the state, possibly. I don't Α 15 think it would necessarily be any higher in 16 California, but it's possible. 17 What factors would you consider in 18 determining whether the margin of error at the state 19 level is higher than the nine percent margin of 20 error at the national level of CMS estimates? 21 Α I'm not sure I understand where you're 22 going with your question, but it seems to me we've

	Conducted on December 22, 2016	37
1	Q Is that what's been referred to in some of	
2	the reports and I'll use the acronym PUMA,	
3	P-U-M-A?	
4	A Yes, public use microdata area.	
5	Q So that's the smallest geographical area	
6	that CMS will provide an estimate or has provided an	
7	estimate for the undocumented population, correct?	
8	A That is the smallest area to which they	
9	have published estimates.	
10	Q Okay. Are there any other entities that	
11	have estimated the undocumented population at a	
12	geographical area smaller than a PUMA?	
13	A Not that I know of.	
14	Q Do you know why that is?	
15	A It's a very time consuming and tedious	
16	activity, and I don't think the other two major	
17	groups, The Pew Foundation and the I can't recall	
18	the name the Migration Studies Institute	
19	Q Yeah.	
20	A have done that.	
21	Q In the expert report that you provided in	
22	this case, you adopted the margin of error that the	

1	American Community Survey attached to the estimate
2	of the total number of Hispanics in the census tract
3	at issue. Is that correct?
4	A That's correct.
5	Q Do you know how ACS determined that margin
6	of error?
7	A Certainly when I was reviewing the
8	document, I could have given you a much more
9	specific answer. They used the procedures the ACS
10	uses for all of its margins of error, and they pass
11	that down to the local unit, and that's their best
12	estimate of a range for that small population in a
13	census tract. In this case I think it was 26
14	percent.
15	Q All right. At what point does the margin
16	of error cause you as a demographer to question the
17	reliability of the estimate?
18	A Well, I think we have to be clear that the
19	issue and the importance is the point is not the
20	margin of error. The margin of error gives us a
21	guide as to what the range might be. But in the
22	end, all statisticians and demographers are

64 1 Migration Studies, but confirmed by the studies from 2 the Pew Research Center and from the Migration 3 Studies Institute. 4 But the CMS doesn't have any estimate of 5 the undocumented population at the tract level, 6 correct? 7 Α That's correct. 8 Q So then you did not rely upon CMS data for 9 your ultimate conclusion in this case, right? 10 No, that's not correct. I used the CMS 11 data from the PUMA to estimate what the undocumented 12 population is in the tract, that tract as part of 13 the PUMA. 14 What's the population size in the PUMA 15 that you relied upon? 16 I don't have it in front of me, but it's a 17 large number. 18 Well over 100,000, correct? 19 Α I believe so. 20 And the census Tract 4406, that population 0 21 is less than 4,000, correct? 22 Α Yes.

66

1 tract level, we feel reasonably confident of those 2 results. 3 What was your basis for assuming that the 4 CMS estimate at the PUMA level would be the same for 5 the census tract level? The census tract is part of the PUMA. 6 The 7 counterfactual would be that the tract is very 8 different from the rest of the PUMA, and there's no 9 evidence that it is. It's like the PUMA as a whole. 10 Therefore, we apply the same proportion at the PUMA 11 level down to the tract level. That's a standard 12 procedure in demography, that you use a proportion 13 at the higher level and a proportion down at the 14 lower levels. 15 Well, isn't it true that the PUMA level 16 data could be dispersed throughout various census 17 tracts that are encompassed in the PUMA? 18 It is true that there's variation across Α 19 the PUMA, but there's no evidence that the PUMA is 20 so variable that it would make the estimating 21 procedure improper. 22 How did you determine that in this case?

1	A I looked at the census tracts that made up
2	the PUMA. There are many of them like Tract 4406.
3	Q So is it your testimony that Tract 4406 is
4	similar in its demographic make-up as other census
5	tracts in the PUMA?
6	A I did not do that analysis.
7	Q Continuing on page 2, I'd like you to
8	focus now on subparagraph F. The last sentence
9	states, "As a disproportionate number of Hispanics
10	are not citizens, a disproportionate number of
11	residents will be impacted by the park's leaseholder
12	policy." When you make this statement, "a
13	disproportionate number of Hispanics are not
14	citizens," who are you comparing that group to?
15	A Disproportionate to other groups.
16	Q Which other groups?
17	A Well, after I was just using
18	non-Hispanics as the other group in that statement,
19	but in the rebuttal report when Dr. Weinberg raised
20	the issue of not estimating it for Asians, I redid
21	the analysis so that we looked at Asians and other
22	populations, and the disproportionate impact

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

#### Transcript of William A.V. Clark, Ph.D. Conducted on December 22, 2016

78

He uses that, but that's -- but there's no justification for it. And we're getting away from the point. You're focusing on margins of error, and I keep needing to remind you that we do have a point estimate here. That's the issue. There is an issue of what the margin of error should be, but the point estimate, which both Dr. Weinberg and I got, is a good estimate for the number of undocumented in the census tract. And that I think is the end of the 10 discussion, really, because we've got a point 11 estimate. 12 Perhaps the margin of error should be 13 larger, but the margin of error only gives us a 14 sense of where the point estimate lies. Think of it 15 again, as I said, as a bell curve. Multiple samples 16 will produce most of the results near the point 17 estimate. 18 But in order to determine whether a point 19 estimate is reliable, you have to consider the 20 margin of error, correct? 21 You can consider the margin of error. Ιt 22 gives you a range in which the point estimate could

82

1 wildly different from the county level, I would be 2 concerned about my point estimate, but I'm not. 3 In relying upon the PUMA CMS estimate, you 4 do not know what the margin of error is for that 5 estimate, correct? 6 I think that question has been asked at 7 least twice before, and I've answered. We don't 8 know the margin of error for the CMS data. CMS did 9 not provide margins of error at the PUMA level. 10 How can you as a demographer determine 11 whether their estimate is reliable or not? 12 Α They have gone through a complicated 13 process of taking the national data, positing it out 14 to state and to local areas. This is, as mine, the 15 best estimate of the number of undocumented. 16 is a large team of demographers and statisticians 17 produced this data. It is publicly available now 18 I believe it is as reliable data as we can 19 get about the undocumented population. 20 0 Whether it's the most reliable or not, how 21 can you determine whether it's sufficiently reliable 22 to establish, for instance, in this case as a fact